

Subject Draft Evaluation Criteria Memorandum

**Attention** Mike Morgan and Brett Estes,

City of Astoria

**From** Scott Richman, Brooke Jordan, Jacobs

Date December 5, 2018

Copies to Michael Duncan, ODOT

## Introduction

This memorandum presents proposed criteria for the evaluation of potential land use and transportation improvement alternatives that could consist of regulatory changes such as existing plan and code amendments, and public investments in the Uniontown neighborhood. The evaluation criteria reflect community identified concerns about the impact of alternatives on livability, development or redevelopment potential, travel conditions by different mode, including safety, comfort, and accessibility for people walking, biking, riding transit, or driving (particularly large freight-hauling trucks), plus historic preservation, aesthetics, and economic vitality.

Evaluation criteria will be used to qualitatively assess and compare the extent to which potential alternatives meet the community vision for Uniontown and project objectives. Project objectives include:

- Strengthen livability and economic vitality;
- Create balanced and efficient multimodal transportation system;
- Develop a complete land use plan and supportive transportation plan;
- Build on previous planning and visioning work;
- Facilitate Astor-West Urban Renewal Plan implementation; and
- Actively engage community stakeholders through visioning process.

Based on the evaluation, each alternative will receive one of three ratings: meets or supports criteria, does not relate to criteria (i.e., not applicable), or does not meet criteria. Table 1 details the community-identified land use and economic development, and transportation issues and proposed criteria to evaluate alternatives.

**Table 1. Evaluation Criteria by Key Issues** 

Key Issues	Draft Evaluation Criteria	Performance Metric		
Land use & Economic Development				
Limited Landscaping Standards	<ul> <li>Improves existing landscaping standards to reflect community vision for the neighborhood</li> </ul>			

	Supports sustainable landscaping design and implementation (i.e. preserving/increasing tree canopy, improving storm water management)	
Fragmented Property Ownership	<ul> <li>Encourages development types that bridge gap of fragmented and inconsistent land ownership</li> <li>Promotes a cohesive identity of the neighborhood</li> </ul>	
Bridge Vista Overlay Zone Implications	Leverages the asset of the river, views of, and connection to the river to future development	
Off-Street Parking Uses and Management	<ul> <li>Reduces burden of parking minimums for new development</li> <li>Identify potential parking areas in Uniontown</li> <li>Encourages use of current off-street parking before building more</li> <li>Parking capacity meets parking need</li> </ul>	
Loss of Historic Character	<ul> <li>Preserves the historic character of Uniontown</li> <li>Emphasizes Astoria's historic character by connecting people to tourism- related and retail businesses</li> <li>Removal of historic buildings justified in demolition code</li> </ul>	
Evolving Traditional Economic Base	<ul> <li>Addresses the changing economic landscape by supporting new investment/employment opportunities</li> <li>Emphasizes Uniontown's capability for light manufacturing and other resilient industry sectors</li> </ul>	
Low Leasing Rates Deter Development	<ul> <li>Allows or promotes feasible development types</li> <li>Development reflects market conditions/constraints</li> </ul>	
Lack of Affordable Housing	<ul> <li>Incentivizes opportunities for increased affordable housing or overall supply of housing</li> <li>Supports housing types that are appropriate for prevailing wages (i.e. garden-style, duplex, ADU)</li> </ul>	



	Now and proposed bassing	
	<ul> <li>New and proposed housing developments are compatible with adjacent neighborhoods and with current neighborhood uses</li> </ul>	
Lack of Commercial Space	<ul> <li>Promotes the envisioned neighborhood character (i.e. setbacks, building heights, landscaping) and allows for feasible development</li> </ul>	
	Allows for the repurposing of existing buildings to fill market gap	
	Commercial development includes affordable housing	
Key Issues	Draft Evaluation Criteria	
	Transportation	
Unsafe Pedestrian Crossings on Marine Drive	Addresses known pedestrian crossing issues on Marine Drive	
	<ul> <li>Improves safety at crossings for pedestrians and bicyclists through proven treatment methods</li> </ul>	
Port of Astoria Traffic Concerns	<ul> <li>Alternative measures to increase capacity and turning movements for road users, especially Port activity</li> <li>Preserves existing transportation system to the Port Astoria</li> <li>Supports and improves safety for all users around the Port of Astoria</li> </ul>	
Parking on Marine Drive	<ul> <li>Preserves parking during roadway configuration</li> <li>Mitigates impacts to existing on-street parking</li> </ul>	
Limited Access to Commercial and Recreational Districts	<ul> <li>Improve pedestrian and bicycle access</li> <li>Improves access to and identification of commercial or recreational areas through signage, crossings, and wayfinding programs</li> </ul>	
Unsafe Nonmotorized Access between Uniontown and Alameda	<ul> <li>Promotes a more walkable, safe, and accessible transportation environment</li> <li>Improves or creates access to/between Uniontown and Alameda</li> <li>Improves facilities for those using mobility devices</li> </ul>	



	<ul> <li>Enhances the active transportation network</li> </ul>
Problematic Traffic Patterns	<ul> <li>Addresses known access issues on state highways or major arterials</li> <li>Reduces personal vehicle reliance on system for shorter, local trips</li> <li>Improves efficiency of current transportation system</li> </ul>
Numerous and Closely Spaced Driveways on Marine Drive	<ul> <li>Supports more safe and efficient access to businesses and residences along Marine Drive</li> <li>Improves safety for pedestrians and bicyclists around driveways</li> </ul>
Safe and Convenient Transit	<ul> <li>Enhances public transportation services         (e.g., new routes, shelters, ADA         compliance)</li> <li>Improves bicycle and pedestrian         connections to public transportation         stops</li> <li>Enhances transportation options to         underserved areas.</li> </ul>
Inadequate Lighting for Pedestrians	<ul> <li>Improves visibility and safety, especially for those with disabilities</li> <li>Minimizes impacts to natural resources.</li> </ul>
Lack of Safe and Convenient Bicycle Facilities including North Terminus of Oregon Coast Bike Route (OCBR)	<ul> <li>Supports development of and access to north-south bicycle facility</li> <li>Creates connections between Marine Drive, multi-use path, and OCBR hub</li> </ul>

